REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The claims are 2, 16-25 and 29-30. Claims 2 and 16-25 have been amended to depend on claim 29 which the Examiner has allowed along with claim 30. These claims have also been amended to improve their form. Claims 1 and 28 have been canceled.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 29 and 30 were allowed; however, the remaining claims were rejected on the basis of the previously cited *Drefahl U.S.*Patent No. 4,534,142 for the reasons set forth on pages 2-3 of the Office Action. Specifically claims 1, 18, 25, and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.§ 102(b) as being anticipated by *Drefahl* and claims 2, 3, and 16-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Drefahl*.

In response, without conceding the propriety of the rejections and in order to expedite prosecution of this case, Applicant has canceled claims 1 and 28 and has amended claims 2 and 16-25 to depend on claim 29. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that all claims, namely claims 29 and 30, and dependent claims 2 and 16-25 are now in condition for allowance.

In summary, claims 2 and 16-25 have been amended and claims 1 and 28 have been canceled.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the claims be allowed and that this case be passed to issue.

Wolfgang BEHRENS

Respectfully submitted

COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard (Attorney for Applicant Roslyn, New York 11576 (516) 365-9802

FJD:rd

Reg. No.29 frederi*c*k J. Dorchald,

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 13, 2006.